Thomas Finley, tomf@cs.cornell.edu

#### Linear Algebra

A subspace is a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{0} \in S$  and  $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S, \alpha, \beta \in$  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\alpha \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{y} \in S$ .

 $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a *linear combination* of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_k$  if  $\exists \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that  $\mathbf{x} = \beta_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \beta_k \mathbf{v}_k$ .

The span of  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  is the set of all vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that are linear combinations of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k$ .

A basis B of subspace S,  $B = {\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k} \subset S$  has Span(B) = S and all  $\mathbf{v}_i$  linearly independent.

The dimension of S is |B| for a basis B of S.

For subspaces S, T with  $S \subseteq T$ ,  $dim(S) \leq dim(T)$ , and further if dim(S) = dim(T), then S = T.

A linear transformation  $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  has  $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha, \beta \in$  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $T(\alpha \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{y}) = \alpha T(\mathbf{x}) + \beta T(\mathbf{y})$ . Further,  $\exists A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  such that  $\forall \mathbf{x} \ . \ T(\mathbf{x}) \equiv A\mathbf{x}$ .

For two linear transformations  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m, S : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $S \circ T \equiv S(T(\mathbf{x}))$  is linear transformation.  $(T(\mathbf{x}) \equiv A\mathbf{x}) \wedge (S(\mathbf{y}) \equiv$  $B\mathbf{y} \Rightarrow (S \circ T)(\mathbf{x}) \equiv BA\mathbf{x}.$ 

The matrix's row space is the span of its rows, its column space or range is the span of its columns, and its rank is the dimension of either of these spaces.

For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $rank(A) \leq \min(m, n)$ . A has full row (or column) rank if rank(A) = m (or n).

A diagonal matrix  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  has  $d_{j,k} = 0$  for  $j \neq k$ . The diagonal *identity matrix* I has  $i_{i,i} = 1$ .

The upper (or lower) bandwidth of A is  $\max |i - j|$  among i, jwhere  $i \ge j$  (or  $i \le j$ ) such that  $A_{i,j} \ne 0$ .

A matrix with lower bandwidth 1 is upper Hessenberg. For  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , B is A's inverse if AB = BA = I. If such

a B exists, A is invertible or nonsingular.  $B = A^{-1}$ .

The inverse of A is  $A^{-1} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n]$  where  $A\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{e}_i$ . For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  the following are equivalent: A is nonsingular, rank(A) = n,  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  is solvable for any  $\mathbf{b}$ ,  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  iff  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

The inner product of  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ .

Vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are orthogonal if  $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ .

The nullspace or kernel of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is  $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\}$ . For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , Range(A) and  $Nullspace(A^T)$  are orthogonal

complements, i.e.,  $\mathbf{x} \in Range(A), \mathbf{y} \in Nullspace(A^T) \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y} =$ 0. For all  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , there exist unique  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  so that  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ .

For a *permutation matrix*  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , *PA* permutes the rows of A, AP the columns of A.  $P^{-1} = P^T$ .

#### Gaussian Elimination

GE produces a factorization A = LU, GEPP PA = LU. Plain GE GE with Partial Pivoting 1: for k = 1 to n - 1 do 1: for k = 1 to n - 1 do 2: **if**  $a_{kk} = 0$  **then** stop  $\gamma = \operatorname{argmax} |a_{ik}|$ 2:  $i \in \{k+1,...,n\}$  $\ell_{k+1:n,k} = a_{k+1:n,k} / a_{kk}$ 3:  $a_{[\gamma,k],k:n} = a_{[k,\gamma],k:n}$  $a_{k+1:n,k:n} = a_{k+1:n,k:n} \ell_{[\gamma,k],1:k-1} = \ell_{[k,\gamma],1:k-1}$  $\ell_{k+1:n,k}a_{k,k:n}$ 5:  $p_k = \gamma$ 5: end for 6:  $\ell_{k:n,k} = a_{k:n,k}/a_{kk}$ **Backward Substitution** 7:  $a_{k+1:n,k:n} = a_{k+1:n,k:n} - a_{k+1:n,k:n}$ 1:  $\mathbf{x} = zeros(n, 1)$  $\ell_{k+1:n,k}a_{k,k:n}$ 2: for j = n to 1 do 8: end for 3:  $x_j = \frac{w_j - u_{j,j+1:n}x_{j+1:n}}{w_j - u_{j,j+1:n}x_{j+1:n}}$ 

To solve  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , factor A = LU (or  $A = P^T LU$ ), solve  $L\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b}$  (or  $L\mathbf{w} = \hat{\mathbf{b}}$  where  $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = P\mathbf{b}$ ) for  $\mathbf{w}$  using forward substitution, then solve  $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{w}$  for  $\mathbf{x}$  using backward substitution. ware of overflow! The complexity of GE and GEPP is  $\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)$ . GEPP encounters an exact 0 pivot iff A is singular.

For banded A, L + U has the same bandwidths as A.

# Norms

A vector norm function  $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies:

 $u_{j,j}$ 

- 1.  $\|\mathbf{x}\| > 0$ , and  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} = \vec{0}$ .
- 2.  $\|\gamma \mathbf{x}\| = |\gamma| \cdot \|\mathbf{x}\|$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , and all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- 3.  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Common norms include:

1. 
$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|$$

1.  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_r|$ 2.  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$ 

3. 
$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (|x_1|^p + \dots + |x_n|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \max_{i=1, n} |x_i|$$

An induced matrix norm is  $||A||_{\square} = \sup_{\mathbf{x}\neq 0} \frac{||A\mathbf{x}||_{\square}}{||\mathbf{x}||_{\square}}$ . It satisfies the three properties of norms.

 $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \, \|A\mathbf{x}\|_{\square} \le \|A\|_{\square} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\square}.$ 

 $||AB||_{\Box} \leq ||A||_{\Box} ||B||_{\Box}$ , called *submultiplicativity*.

 $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b} \leq \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \|\mathbf{b}\|_2$ , called Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

- 1.  $||A||_{\infty} = \max_{i=1,...,n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{i,j}| \text{ (max row sum).}$ 2.  $||A||_1 = \max_{j=1,...,n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |a_{i,j}| \text{ (max column sum).}$ 3.  $||A||_2$  is hard: it takes  $O(n^3)$ , not  $O(n^2)$  operations.

4.  $||A||_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_{i,j}^2}$ .  $||\cdot||_F$  often replaces  $||\cdot||_2$ .

# Numerical Stability

Six sources of error in scientific computing: modeling errors, measurement or data errors, blunders, discretization or truncation errors, convergence tolerance, and rounding errors.

$$\pm \underbrace{d_1 \cdot d_2 d_3 \cdots d_t}_{\text{mantissa}} \times \underbrace{\beta}_{\text{base}} e^{\text{exponent}} \qquad \text{For single and doubles} \\ t = 24, e \in \{-126, \dots \\ t = 53, e \in \{-1022, \dots \} \}$$

The relative error in  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  approximating  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $\frac{|\hat{\mathbf{x}}-\mathbf{x}|}{|\mathbf{x}|}$ .

Unit roundoff or machine epsilon is  $\epsilon_{mach} = \beta^{-t+1}$ . Arithmetic operations have relative error bounded by  $\epsilon_{mach}$ .

E.g., consider z = x - y with input x, y. This program has three roundoff errors.  $\hat{z} = ((1 + \delta_1)x - (1 + \delta_2)y)(1 + \delta_3)$ , where  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3 \in [-\epsilon_{mach}, \epsilon_{mach}]. \quad \frac{|z-\hat{z}|}{|z|} = \frac{|(\delta_1+\delta_3)x - (\delta_2+\delta_3)y + O(\epsilon_{mach}^2)|}{|x-y|}$ The bad case is where  $\delta_1 = \epsilon_{mach}$ ,  $\delta_2 = -\epsilon_{mach}$ ,  $\delta_3 = 0$ :  $\frac{|z-\hat{z}|}{|z|} = \epsilon_{mach} \frac{|x+y|}{|x-y|} \text{ Inaccuracy if } |x+y| \gg |x-y| \text{ called } catas$ trophic calcellation.

### Conditioning & Backwards Stability

A problem instance is *ill conditioned* if the solution is sensitive to perturbations of the data. For example, sin 1 is well conditioned, but sin 12392193 is ill conditioned.

Suppose we perturb  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  by  $(A + E)\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{e}$  where  $\frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} \leq \delta, \frac{\|\mathbf{e}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} \leq \delta. \quad \text{Then } \frac{\|\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \leq 2\delta\kappa(A) + O(\delta^2), \text{ where }$  $\tilde{\kappa}(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$  is the condition number of A.

1. $\forall A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},  \kappa(A) \ge 1.$	4. For diagonal $D$ and all $p$ ,	
2. $\kappa(I) = 1.$	$  D  _p = \max_{i=1n}  d_{ii} .$	
3. If $\gamma \neq 0$ , $\kappa(\gamma A) = \kappa(A)$ .	So, $\kappa(D) = \frac{\max_{i=1n}  d_{ii} }{\min_{i=1n}  d_{ii} }$ .	
If $\kappa(A) > -\frac{1}{A}$ , A may as well be singular.		

An algorithm is *backwards stable* if in the presence of roundoff error it returns the exact solution to a nearby problem instance.

GEPP solves  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  by returning  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  where  $(A + E)\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}$ . It is backwards stable if  $\frac{\|E\|_{\infty}}{\|A\|_{\infty}} \leq O(\epsilon_{mach})$ . With GEPP,  $\frac{\|E\|_{\infty}}{\|A\|_{\infty}} \leq c_n \epsilon_{mach} + O(\epsilon_{mach}^2), \text{ where } c_n \text{ is worst case exponen-}$ tial in n, but in practice almost always low order polynomial. Combining stability and conditioning analysis yields  $\frac{\|\hat{\mathbf{x}}-\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \leq$ 

 $c_n \cdot \kappa(A)\epsilon_{mach} + O(\epsilon_{mach}^2).$ 

#### Determinant

The determinant det :  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies:

1.  $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$ . 4.  $\det(L) = \ell_{1,1}\ell_{2,2}\cdots\ell_{n,n}$ 2. det(A) = 0 iff A singular. for triangular L.

3.  $\det(A) = \det(A^T)$ .

To compute det(A) factor  $A = P^T L U$ .  $det(P) = (-1)^s$  where P performs s swaps, det(L) = 1. When calculating det(U), be-

#### **Orthogonal Matrices**

For  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , these statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $Q^T Q = Q Q^T = I$  (i.e., Q is orthogonal)
- 2. The  $\|\cdot\|_2 = 1$  for each row and column of Q. The inner product of any row (or column) with another is 0.
- 3. For all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $||Q\mathbf{x}||_2 = ||\mathbf{x}||_2$ .

A matrix  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with m > n has orthonormal columns if the columns are orthonormal, and  $Q^T Q = I$ . The product of orthogand  $||AQ||_2 = ||A||_2$ .

### Positive Definite. $A = LDL^T$

 $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is positive definite (PD) (or semidefinite (PSD)) if  $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} > 0$  (or  $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} > 0$ ).

When LU-factorizing symmetric A, the result is  $A = LDL^T$ ; L is unit lower triangular, D is diagonal. A is SPD iff D has all positive entries. The Cholesky factorization is  $A = LDL^T =$  $LD^{1/2}D^{1/2}L^T = GG^T$ . Can be done directly in  $\frac{n^3}{3} + O(n^2)$  flops. If G's diagonal is positive, A is SPD.

To solve  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  for SPD A, factor  $A = GG^T$ , solve  $G\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b}$ by forward substitution, then solve  $G^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{w}$  with backwards substitution, which takes  $\frac{n^3}{3} + O(n^2)$  flops.

For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , if rank(A) = n, then  $A^T A$  is SPD.

### **QR**-factorization

For any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $m \ge n$ , we can factor A = QR, where  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is orthogonal, and  $R = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is upper triangular. rank(A) = n iff  $R_1$  is invertible.

Q's first n (or last m-n) columns form an orthonormal basis for span(A) (or  $nullspace(A^T)$ ).

A Householder reflection is  $H = I - \frac{2\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T}{\mathbf{v}^T\mathbf{v}}$ . H is symmetric and orthogonal. Explicit H.H. QR-factorization is:

1: for 
$$k = 1 : n$$
 do

$$\mathbf{v} = A(k:m,k) \pm ||A(k:m,k)||_2 \mathbf{e}_1$$

$$: \quad A(k:m,k:n) = \left(I - \frac{2\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{v}}\right)A(k:m,k:n)$$

4: end for

2:

 $, 127 \}$ 

.,1023}

We get  $H_n H_{n-1} \cdots H_1 A = R$ , so then,  $Q = H_1 H_2 \cdots H_n$ . This takes  $2mn^2 - \frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(mn)$  flops.

Givens requires 50% more flops. Preferable for sparse A. The Gram-Schmidt produces a skinny/reduced QRfactorization  $A = Q_1 R_1$ , where  $Q_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  has orthonormal columns. The *Gram-Schmidt* algorithm is:

0		n
Left Looking	Right Looking	A
1: <b>for</b> $k = 1 : n$ <b>do</b>	1: $Q = A$	q
2: $\mathbf{q}_k = \mathbf{a}_k$	2: for $k = 1 : n$ do	
3: <b>for</b> $j = 1 : k - 1$ <b>do</b>	3: $R(k,k) = \ \mathbf{q}_k\ _2$	t
4: $R(j,k) = \mathbf{q}_j^T \mathbf{a}_k$	4: $\mathbf{q}_k = \mathbf{q}_k / R(k,k)$	to
5: $\mathbf{q}_k = \mathbf{q}_k - \hat{R}(j,k)\mathbf{q}_j$	5: <b>for</b> $j = k + 1 : n$ <b>do</b>	w
6: <b>end for</b>	6: $R(k,j) = \mathbf{q}_k^T \mathbf{q}_j$	ir
7: $R(k,k) = \ \mathbf{q}_k\ _2$	7: $\mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{q}_j - R(k, j)\mathbf{q}_k$	w
8: $\mathbf{q}_k = \mathbf{q}_k / R(k,k)$	8: end for	ti
9: <b>end for</b>	9: <b>end for</b>	d
	-	~

In left looking, let line 4 be  $R(j,k) = \mathbf{q}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{q}_{k}$  for modified G.S. to make it backwards stable.

### **Basic Linear Algebra Subroutines**

- 0. Scalar ops, like  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ . O(1) flops, O(1) data.
- 1. Vector ops, like  $\mathbf{y} = a\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ . O(n) flops, O(n) data.
- 2. Matrix-vector ops, like rank-one update  $A = A + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^T$ .  $O(n^2)$  flops,  $O(n^2)$  data.
- 3. Matrix-matrix ops, like C = C + AB.  $O(n^3)$  flops,  $O(n^2)$ data.

Use the highest BLAS level possible. Operators are architecture tuned, e.g., data processed in cache-sized bites.

#### Linear Least Squares

Suppose we have points  $(u_1, v_1), \ldots, (u_5, v_5)$  that we want to fit a quadratic curve  $au^2 + bu + c$  through. We want to solve for:

 $u_1^2 \quad u_1 \quad 1 \quad \boxed{ \quad a \quad \boxed{ \quad v_1 \quad }}$ This is *overdetermined* so an  $\vdots$   $\vdots$  bexact solution is out. Instead, ÷ ÷ = find the *least squares* solution

**x** that minimizes  $||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||_2$ . A is diagonalizable if A is similar to a diagonal matrix For the method of normal equations, solve for  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = D = T^{-1} A T$ . A's eigenvalues are D's diagonals, and the eigen- $A^T$ **b** with Cholesky factorization. This takes  $mn^2 + \frac{n^3}{3} + O(mn)$ vectors are columns of T since  $AT_{:,i} = D_{i,i}T_{:,i}$ . A is diagonalizflops. It is conditionally but not backwards stable:  $A^T A$  doubles able iff it has n linearly independent eigenvectors. the condition number.

For symmetric  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , A is diagonalizable, has all real Alternatively, factor A = QR. Let  $\mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 \end{bmatrix}^T = Q^T \mathbf{b}$ . eigenvalues, and the eigenvectors can be the columns of an or-The least squares solution is  $\mathbf{x} = R_1^{-1} \mathbf{c}_1$ . thogonal matrix Q where  $A = QDQ^T$  is the eigendecomposition If rank(A) = r and r < n (rank deficient), factor A =of A. Further, for symmetric A:

onal matrices is orthogonal. For orthogonal Q,  $\|QA\|_2 = \|A\|_2$   $U\Sigma V^T$ , let  $y = V^T x$  and  $c = U^T b$ . Then, min  $\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2 = U^T x$ 

 $\min \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r} (\sigma_i y_i - c_i)^2 + \sum_{i=r+1}^{m} c_i^2}, \text{ so } y_i = \frac{c_i}{\sigma_i}. \text{ For } i = r+1:$  n,  $y_i$  is arbitrary.

### Singular Value Decomposition

For any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , we can express  $A = U \Sigma V^T$  such that  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are orthogonal, and  $\Sigma =$  $diag(\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  where  $p = \min(m, n)$  and  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq$  $\cdots \geq \sigma_p \geq 0$ . The  $\sigma_i$  are singular values.

- 1. Matrix 2-norm, where  $||A||_2 = \sigma_1$ .
- 2. The condition number  $\kappa_2(A) = ||A||_2 ||A^{-1}||_2 = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma}$ , or rectangular condition number  $\kappa_2(A) = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_{\min(m,n)}}$ . Note that  $\kappa_2(A^T A) = \kappa_2(A)^2.$
- 3. For a rank k approximation to A, let  $\Sigma_k$  =  $diag(\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_k, \mathbf{0}^T)$ . Then  $A_k = U\Sigma_k V^T$ .  $rank(A_k) \leq k$ and  $rank(A_k) = k$  iff  $\sigma_k > 0$ . Among rank k or lower matrices,  $A_k$  minimizes  $||A - A_k||_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$ .
- 4. Rank determination, since rank(A) = r equals the number of nonzero  $\sigma$ , or in machine arithmetic, perhaps the number of  $\sigma > \epsilon_{mach} \times \sigma_1$ .

$$A = U\Sigma V^T = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma(1:r,1:r) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^T \\ V_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$

See that  $range(U_1) = range(A)$ . The SVD gives an orthonormal basis for the range and nullspace of A and  $A^T$ .

Compute the SVD by using shifted QR on  $A^T A$ .

### Information Retrival & LSI

In the bag of words model,  $\mathbf{w}_d \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $\mathbf{w}_d(i)$  is the (perhaps weighted) frequency of term i in document d. The corpus matrix is  $A = [\mathbf{w}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{w}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . For a query  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , rank documents according to a  $\frac{\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{w}_d}{\|\mathbf{w}_d\|_2}$  score.

In latent semantic indexing, you do the same, but in a k dimensional subspace. Factor  $A = U\Sigma V^T$ , then define  $A^* = \Sigma_{1:k,1:k} V_{:,1:k}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ . Each  $\mathbf{w}_d^* = A_{:,d}^* = U_{:,1:k}^T \mathbf{w}_d$ , and  $\mathbf{q}^* = U_{\cdot,1\cdot k}^T \mathbf{q}.$ 

In the Ando-Lee analysis, for a corpus with k topics, for  $\in 1: k$  and  $d \in 1: n$ , let  $R_{t,d} \geq 0$  be document d's relevance to opic t.  $||R_{:,d}||_2 = 1$ . True document similarity is  $RR^T = \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , where entry (i, j) is relevance of i to j. Using LSI, if A contains nformation about  $RR^T$ , then  $(A^*)^T A^*$  will approximate  $RR^T$ vell. LSI depends on even distribution of topics, where distribuion is  $\rho = \frac{\max_t ||R_t||_2}{\min_t ||R_t||_2}$ . Great for  $\rho$  is near 1, but if  $\rho \gg 1$ , LSI loes worse.

### Complex Numbers

Complex numbers are written  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$  for  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . The real part is  $x = \Re(z)$ . The imaginary part is  $y = \Re(z)$ .

The conjugate of z is 
$$\overline{z} = x - iy$$
.  $\overline{A}\overline{\mathbf{x}} = (A\mathbf{x}), \overline{A}\overline{B} = (AB)$   
The absolute value of z is  $|z| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ .

The conjugate transpose of  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $\mathbf{x}^H = (\overline{\mathbf{x}})^T$ .  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is Hermitian or self-adjoint if  $A = A^H$ .

If  $Q^H Q = I$ , Q is unitary.

### Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors

For  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , if  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$  where  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$  is an *eigenvector* of A and  $\lambda$  is the corresponding *eigenvalue*.

Remember,  $A - \lambda \mathbf{x}$  is singular iff  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ . With  $\lambda$  as a variable,  $det(A - \lambda I)$  is A's characteristic polynomial.

For nonsingular  $T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $T^{-1}AT$  (the similarity transformation) is similar to A. Similar matrices have the same characteristic polynomial and hence the same eigenvalues (though probably different eigenvectors). This relationship is reflexive, transitive, and symmetric.

1. The singular values are absolute values of eigenvalues.

2. Is SPD (or SPSD) iff eigenvalues > 0 (or > 0).

3. For SPD, singular values equal eigenvalues.

4. For  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , m > n, singular values of B are the square roots of  $B^T B$ 's eigenvalues.

For any  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the Schur form of A is  $A = QTQ^H$  with verge if  $\lambda_{|\max|}(M^{-1}N) < 1$ . unitary  $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and upper triangular  $T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ .

In this sheet I denote  $\lambda_{|\max|} = \max_{\lambda \in \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}} |\lambda|$ . For  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , then  $\lim_{k \to \infty} B^k = 0$  if  $\lambda_{|\max|}(B) < 1$ .

# Power Methods for Eigenvalues

 $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = A\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$  converges to  $\lambda_{|\max|}(A)$ 's eigenvector.

Once you find an eigenvector  $\mathbf{x}$ , find the associated eigenvalue  $\lambda$  through the Raleigh quotient  $\lambda = \frac{\mathbf{x}^{(k)T} A \mathbf{x}^{(k)}}{\mathbf{x}^{(k)T} \mathbf{x}^{(k)}}$ 

The inverse shifted power method is  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = (A - \sigma I)^{-1} \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ . If A has eigenpairs  $(\lambda_1, \mathbf{u}_1), \ldots, (\lambda_n, \mathbf{u}_n)$ , then  $(A - \sigma I)^{-1}$  has eigenpairs  $\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\sigma},\mathbf{u}_1\right),\ldots,\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n-\sigma},\mathbf{u}_n\right)$ . Factor  $A = QHQ^T$ where H is upper Hessenberg.

To factor  $A = OHO^T$ , find successive Householder reflections  $H_1, H_2, \ldots$  that zero out rows 2 and lower of column 1, rows 3 and lower of column 2, etc. Then  $Q = H_1^T \cdots H_{n-2}^T$ .

1:  $A^{(0)} = A$  $A^{(k)}$  is similar to A by the 2: for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  do orthogonal transform  $U^{(k)} =$ 3: Set  $A^{(k)} - \sigma^{(k)}I = Q^{(k)}R^{(k)} Q^{(0)} \cdots Q^{(k+1)}$ . Perhaps 4:  $A^{(k+1)} = R^{(k)}Q^{(k)} + \sigma^{(k)}I$  choose  $\sigma^{(k)}$  as eigenvalues of 5: end for submatrices of A.

# Arnoldi and Lanczos

Given  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and unit length  $\mathbf{q}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , output Q, H such that  $A = QHQ^T$ . Use Lanczos for symmetric A. Arnoldi Lanczos

	Landlob
1: for $k = 1 : n - 1$ do	1: $\beta_0 = \ \mathbf{w}_0\ _2$
2: $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{k+1} = A\mathbf{q}_k$	2: for $k = 1, 2,$ do
3: <b>for</b> $\ell = 1 : k  do$	3: $\mathbf{q}_k = \frac{\mathbf{w}_{k-1}}{\beta_{k-1}}$
4: $H(\ell, k) = \mathbf{q}_{\ell}^T \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{k+1}$	4: $\mathbf{u}_k = A\mathbf{q}_k$
5: $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{k+1} = \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{k+1} - H(\ell, k)\mathbf{q}_{\ell}$	5: $\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{u}_k - \beta_{k-1} \mathbf{q}_{k-1}$
6: end for	6: $\alpha_k = \mathbf{q}_k^T \mathbf{v}_k$
7: $H(k+1,k) = \ \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{k+1}\ _2$	7: $\mathbf{w}_k = \mathbf{v}_k - \alpha_k \mathbf{q}_k$
8: $\mathbf{q}_{k+1} = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{k+1}}{H(k+1,k)}$	8: $\beta_k = \ \mathbf{w}_k\ _2$
9: end for	9: <b>end for</b>

For Lanczos, the  $\alpha_k$  and  $\beta_k$  are diagonal and subdiagonal entries of the Hermitian tridiagonal  $T_k$ , and we have H in Arnoldi. After very few iterations of either method, the eigenvalues of  $T_k$ and H will be excellent approximations to the "extreme" eigenvalues of A.

For k iterations, Arnoldi is  $O(nk^2)$  times and O(nk) space, Lanczos is  $O(nk) + k \cdot M$  time (M is time for matrix-vector multiplication) and O(nk) space, or O(n+k) space if old  $\mathbf{q}_k$ 's are discarded.

02FB43F40CB7D46C171D9F9C10C9FC53FAD827BBD1BED2040B11CA141180061412507F34190D6B5408539A9C18D564EC0

# Iterative Methods for $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$

Useful for sparse A where GE would cause fill-in.

In the splitting method, A = M - N and  $M\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{c}$  is easily solvable. Then,  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = M^{-1} (N \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b})$ . If it converges, the This converges quadratically, i.e.,  $\|\mathbf{e}^{(k+1)}\| \leq c \|\mathbf{e}^{(k)}\|^2$ . limit point  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a solution to  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .

In the Jacobi method, consider M as the diagonals of A. This used to compute Jacobians and determinants. will fail if A has any zero diagonals.

# **Conjugate Gradient**

Conjugate gradient iteratively solves  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  for SPD A. It is derived from Lanczos and exploits that if A is SPD then T is SPD. It produces the exact solution after n iterations. Time per iteration is  $O(n) + \mathcal{M}$ .

1:  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = \text{arbitrary} (\mathbf{0} \text{ is okay})$ 2:  $\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ 3:  $\mathbf{p}_0 = \mathbf{r}_0$ 4: **for** k=0,1,2,... **do**  $\alpha_k = (\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{r}_k) / (\mathbf{p}_k^T A \mathbf{p}_k)$ 5:  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$ 6:  $\mathbf{r}_{k+1} = \mathbf{r}_k - \alpha_k A \mathbf{p}_k$ 7:  $\beta_{k+1} = (\mathbf{r}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{r}_{k+1}) / (\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{r}_{k+1})$  $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} = \mathbf{r}_{k+1} - \beta_{k+1} \mathbf{p}_k$ 9:

# Multivariate Calculus

Provided 
$$f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$$
, the gradient and Hessian are

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta f}{\delta x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\delta f}{\delta x_n} \end{bmatrix}, \nabla^2 f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x_1^2} & \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x_1 \delta x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x_1 \delta x_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x_n \delta x_1} & \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x_n \delta x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x_n^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

mmetric.  $h||^{3}$ 

 $O(\|\mathbf{h}\|^2).$ unction  $\mathbf{f}$  by the first two (or three) terms of  $\mathbf{f}$ 's Taylor expansion.

# Nonlinear Equation Solving

Given  $\mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ , we want  $\mathbf{x}$  such that  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ .

In fixed point iteration, we choose  $\mathbf{g}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$ . If it converges to  $\mathbf{x}^*$ ,  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^*) - \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{0}$ .

 $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^*) + \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^*)(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}^*) + O(||\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}^*||^2)$  For small  $\mathbf{e}^{(k)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}^*$ , ignore the last term. If  $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^*)$  has  $\lambda_{|\max|} < 1$ , then  $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} \to \mathbf{x}^*$  as  $\|\mathbf{e}^{(k)}\| \leq c^k \|\mathbf{e}^{(0)}\|$  for large k, where  $c = \lambda_{|\max|} + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the influence of the ignored last term. This indicates a linear rate of convergence.

Suppose for  $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^*) = QTQ^H$ , T is non-normal, i.e., T's superdiagonal portion is large relative to the diagonal. Then this may not converge as  $\|(\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^*))^k\|$  initially grows!

In Newton's method,  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - (\nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}))^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}).$ 

Automatic differentiation takes advantage of the notion that The error is  $\mathbf{e}^{(k)} = (M^{-1}N)^k \mathbf{e}_0$ , so splitting methods con- a computer program is nothing but arithmetic operations, and one can apply the chain rule to get the derivative. This may be

#### **Optimization**

In continuous optimization,  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is the min  $f(\mathbf{x})$ objective function,  $\mathbf{g}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  holds equals.t. g(x) = 0ity constraints,  $\mathbf{h}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$  holds inequality h(x) > 0constraints.

We did unrestricted optimization  $\min f(\mathbf{x})$  in the course.

A ball is a set  $B(\mathbf{x}, r) = \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|| < r \}.$ 

We have *local minimizers*  $\mathbf{x}^*$  which are the best in a region, i.e.,  $\exists r > 0$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq f(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in B(\mathbf{x}^*, r)$ . A global *minizer* is the best local minimizer.

Assume f is  $c^2$ . If  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a local minimizer, then  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ and  $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^*)$  is PSD. Semi-conversely, if  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^*)$  is PD, then  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a local minimizer.

#### **Steepest Descent**

Go where the function (locally) decreases most rapidly via  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \alpha_k \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}, \alpha_k \text{ is explained later. SD is stateless:}$ depends only on the current point. Too slow.

### Newton's Method for Unconstrained Min.

Iterate by  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - (\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}))^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$ , derived by solving for where  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ . If  $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$  is PD and  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , the step is a descent direction.

What if the Hessian isn't PD? Use (a) secant method, (b) direction of *negative curvature* where  $\mathbf{h}^T \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \mathbf{h} < 0$  where  $\mathbf{h}$  or  $-\mathbf{h}$  (doesn't work well in practice), (c) *trust region* idea so  $\mathbf{h} = -(\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) + tI)^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$  (interpolation of NMUM and SD), (d) factor  $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$  by Cholesky when checking for PD, detect 0 pivots, modify that diagonal in  $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$  and keep going (unjustified by theory, but works in practice).

#### Line Search

*Line search*, given  $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$  and step **h** (perhaps derived from SD or NMUM), finds a  $\alpha > 0$  for  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha \mathbf{h}$ .

In exact line search, optimize  $\min f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha \mathbf{h})$  over  $\alpha$ . Frowned upon because it's computationally expensive.

While In Armijo or backtrack line search, initialize  $\alpha$ .  $f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha \mathbf{h}) > f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) + 0.1 \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})^T \mathbf{h}$ , halve  $\alpha$ .

Secant/quasi Newton methods use an approximate always PD  $\nabla^2 f.$  In Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno:

1:  $B_0$  = initial approximate Hessian {OK to use I.}

2: for 
$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 do

$$\mathbf{s}_k = -B_k^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$$

 $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha_k \mathbf{s}_k$  {Use special line search for  $\alpha_k$ !} 4:  $\mathbf{y}_k = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$ 

6: 
$$B_{k+1} = B_k + \frac{\mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T}{\alpha \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{s}_k} - \frac{B_k \mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T B_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T B_k \mathbf{s}_k}$$

7: end for

3

41981E07411F9B01BDFP261940159373C07AC167405C5F3DC100037440E8659641759C66418EFA5D41558A37C1CBAF46C196E88040E50EFC413A42A6C160850FC12C8D9BC0C2D2F0DC0BDE9B0 C123D876412D0624C0EABD7B402D214B3F0A4E5B4106D552406FFC2840F0964AC0949650409F429E403E7355C0BDAB93F7FD9AC8FDE0F68C09EC408C11BEDDC3F8F803940D97DF84093DC28 41B34BB34105DA042D817644207D117C0F7A989419CDAD0C1F8DFAD41E5C5834195F1D1418B3006422C6A75C22A4E16C22CDE3DC1AD25D42013BB7C2285485C1E1663Dc1ACDB1FC17A6DF7 40FA52A4211987CA40DCBDF64144735E00EB6F40DF32A0C15A8A64045C35A3F3139F2D9410C2DC4414F9096C109854056646158FD0926C046526646158FD0926C0465056664158FD0926C04650566646158FD0925C016B505C00537C3 402D6B641DB298442008ED424654DBc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

10: **end for** 

Error is reduced by  $(\sqrt{\kappa(A)} - 1)/(\sqrt{\kappa(A)} + 1)$ per iteration. Thus, for

$$\kappa(A) = 1$$
, CG converges  
after 1 iteration. To speed  
up CG, use a *perconditioner*  
 $M$  such that  $\kappa(MA) \ll \kappa(A)$   
and solve  $MA\mathbf{x} = M\mathbf{b}$   
instead.

wided 
$$f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$$
, the gradient and Hessian are  
 $\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta f}{\delta x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\delta f}{\delta x_n} \end{bmatrix}, \nabla^2 f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x_1^2} & \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x_1 \delta x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x_1 \delta x_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x_n \delta x_1} & \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x_n \delta x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x_n^2} \end{bmatrix}$ 

If 
$$f$$
 is  $c^2 (2^{nd} \text{ partials are all continuous}), \nabla^2 f$  is sy  
The Taylor expansion for  $f$  is  
 $f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{h}^T \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{h}^T \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{h} + O(||\mathbf{h}|)$   
Provided  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ , the Jacobian is  
 $\nabla \mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta f_1 / \delta x_1 & \cdots & \delta f_1 / \delta x_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \delta f_1 / \delta x_1 & \cdots & \delta f_1 / \delta x_n \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{r}} = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta f_m / \delta x_1 & \cdots & \delta f_m / \delta x_n \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{f}^{\text{'s Taylor expansion is } \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{h} + \\ A \ linear \ (\text{or } audratic) \ model \ \text{approximates a fu} \end{array}$$

By maintaining  $B_k$  in factored form, can iterate in  $O(n^2)$  flops.  $B_k$  is SPD provided  $\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y} > 0$  (use line search to increase  $\alpha_k$  if needed). The secant condition  $\alpha_k B_{k+1} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{y}_k$  holds. If BFCS converges, it converges superlinearly.

## Non-linear Least Squares

For  $\mathbf{g}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $m \ge n$ , we want the  $\mathbf{x}$  for min  $\|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})\|_2$ .

In the Gauss-Newton method,  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{h}$  where  $\mathbf{h} = (\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})^T \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))^{-1} \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ . Note that  $\mathbf{h}$  is a solution to a linear least squares problem min  $\|\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})\|!$  GN is derived by applying NMUM to to  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ , and dropping a resulting tensor (derivative of Jacobian). You keep the quadratic convergence when  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ , since the tensor  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

## **Ordinary Differential Equations**

ODE (or PDE) has one (or multiple) independent variables.

- In *initial value problems*, given  $\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = f(\mathbf{y}, t), \, \mathbf{y}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$ , we want  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  for t > 0. Examples include:
  - 1. Exponential growth/decay with  $\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = a\mathbf{y}$ , with closed form  $\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{y}_0 e^{at}$ . Growth if a > 0, decay if a < 0.
  - 2. Ecological models,  $\frac{dy_i}{dt} = f_i(y_1, \ldots, y_n, t)$  for species i = $1, \ldots, n. y_i$  is population size,  $f_i$  encodes species relationships.
- 3. Mechanics, e.g. wall-spring-block models for F = ma $(a = \frac{d^2x}{dt^2})$  and F = -kx, so  $\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = \frac{-kx}{m}$ . Yields  $\frac{d[x,v]^T}{dt} =$

 $\begin{bmatrix} v & \frac{-kx}{m} \end{bmatrix}^T$  with  $\mathbf{y}_0$  as initial position and velocity.

For stability of an ODE, let  $\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = A\mathbf{y}$  for  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . The stable or neutrally spable or unstable case is where  $\max_i \Re(\lambda_i(A)) <$ 0 or = 0 or > 0 respectively.

In finite difference methods, approximate  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  by discrete points  $\mathbf{y}_0$  (given),  $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots$  so  $\mathbf{y}_k \approx \mathbf{y}(t_k)$  for increasing  $t_k$ .

For many IVPs and FDMs, if the local truncation error (error at each step) is  $O(h^{p+1})$ , the global truncation error (error overall) is  $O(h^p)$ . Call p the order of accuracy.

To find p, substitute the exact solution into FDM formula, insert a remainder term +R on RHS, use a Taylor series expansion, solve for R, keep only the leading term.

In Euler's method, let  $\mathbf{y}_{k+1} = \mathbf{y}_k + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}_k, t_k)h_k$  where  $h_k =$  $t_{k+1} - t_k$  is the step size, and  $\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}, t)$  is perhaps computed by finite difference. p = 1, very low. Explicit!

A stiff problem has widely ranging time scales in the solution, e.g., a transient initial velocity that in the true solution disappears immediately, chemical reaction rate variability over temperature, transients in electical circuits. An explicit method requires  $h_k$  to be on the smallest scale!

Backward Euler has  $\mathbf{y}_{k+1} = \mathbf{y}_k + h\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}_{k+1}, t_{k+1})$ . BE is im*plicit* ( $\mathbf{y}_{k+1}$  on the RHS). If the original program is stable, any h will work!

### Miscellaneous

 $\sum_{k=1}^{n \pm \text{constant}} k^p = \frac{n^{p+1}}{p+1} + O(n^p)$ 

 $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ .  $r_1, r_2 = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$ .  $r_1r_2 = \frac{c}{a}$ Exact arithmetic is slow, futile for inexact observations, and NA relies on approximate algorithms.